



Agenda

Start Date: 23/09/2025	Start Time: 16:15-19:00
Location: RUC	Room: 27.01-089
Last Meeting: 10-06-2025	Next Meeting: TBD

#	Agenda Item	Est. Time	Appx	Action
1	Formalities <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Appointment of conductor - Appointment of minute taker - Approval of the agenda for this board meeting - Approval of the minutes from the last board meetings 	16:15-16:20	A	
2	Orientations	16:20-16:40		
3	Introduction to SR and RUC Structure.	16:40-17:00		Orientation
4	Overview of the political landscape and RUC	17:00-17:20	B	Orientation
5	Break	17:20-17:25		
6	Approval of Candidates for the University Election	17:25-17:40		Decision
7	SU policy paper.	17:40-18:30		
8	Committee presentation	18:30-18:50		
9	A.O.B	18:50-19:00		

Agenda Prepared By: Amir Bahloul – Amir@sr-ruc.dk

Minutes Prepared By: Jonathan Malte Jensen – Jonathanj@ruc.dk

<h1>Minutes</h1> <h2>Of ordinary board meeting in the Student Council at RUC</h2>	
Date	10.06.25
Location	Conference room SH
Meeting participants	<p><i>List of people present in the meeting and their role in parentheses.</i> <i>BM - Board member / AR - Academic Council member (no voting rights) / AL - Alternate (voting rights if a BM is missing) / OS - Observer (no voting rights)</i></p> <p>Magnus Spaabæk Skovgaard (BM), Maya Angelo Henriksen (BM), Amir Bahloul (BM), Liva Holdt Aadal Olsen (BM), Lærke Lykke Larsen (BM), Victor Irming Hansen (BM), Jonathan Malte Jensen (BM), Kamille Munch Sennels-Andersen (BM), Samuel Kreiner (BM), Rosa (BM),</p>
#1: Formalities	<p><i>It is the proposed that the board appoints a conductor, a minute taker, approves the minutes from last time, approves the agenda for this board meeting.</i></p> <p>Conductor: Magnus Minute taker: Jonathan Minutes from the last meeting: APPROVED/NOT APPROVED Agenda: APPROVED/NOT APPROVED</p>
#2:	<p>Orientations:</p> <p>1# Victor: Me and Rosa are going to folkemødet to represent SR, hopefully they will have a chance to drink a cold brewski while they represent us.</p> <p>2#: Rosa: SR is supporting in DSF in condemning Israels "actions"</p> <p>3# Samuel: Djøj drag bingo who would like to help in the bar: Kamille, Liva, Lærke, Maya, Victor</p> <p>4# Rosa: LPU has published an article about how cool the green march initiative is and that more universities should follow. No major papers were interested 😞</p> <p>5# Jonathan: Handbook</p> <p>6# Samuel: Golden Pots, we need to get way more people nominated. Both VIP and TAP, they could win 10.000kr. Please share the links on SR facebook.</p> <p>7# Amir: SR has gotten 100.000kr from tuborgfonden in collaboration with Regitze Marker. We will use this to make some "klima film" to support workshops that we will be doing in the project starting fase out here at RUC. This project will encourage new students to write their semesterprojects on the climate. The groups that engage in this format can participate in a showtime event where theyre work shows the real-life skills they have.</p> <p>8# Amir: We are working together with UBU. SR has had a meeting last wednesday where we talked about all the cool green initiative that were doing out here. We have a project in the works with DPU about strengthening the student's ability to create initiative themselves. There is a lot of partners in this collaboration. SR-RUC, RUC, KU, others.</p> <p>9# amir: we had a workshop about student engagement, how do we get students to engage themselves in "UNIVALG", how do we get the best feedback from our courses, and how do we get out students to engage in their students (RUC students generally say that they dont use more than 30 hours). Amir sorted people into groups and put Hanne in his own group to talk about UNI election.</p> <p>10# Amir: SR chairpersonship and Hanne and others had their monthly meeting talking about: the current process of appointing workinggroups dealing with master's degree changes should include one person from each "studienævn".</p>

#3:	<p>SRs stance on DSFs resolution on the situation in Gaza</p> <p>Victor: went to a DSF boardmeeting where they talked about SRs stance on DSFs resolution on the situation in Gaza in regards to academic collaboration with israels universities. This raises a question like, Should we boycott brands like coke?3</p> <p>Victor advocates that we should also make a statement like DSF on social media.</p> <p>This decision point on the agenda generated a lot of talk about whether we should even post this. We talked about voting about posting and if we decide to post then we should make a working group that can produce a social media post so we can if we like the stance that is to be posted.</p> <p>We voted FOR posting about DSF’s resolutions and we will be creating a workinggroup. Working group: Liva, Victor, Rosa, Samuel. Victor holds the ropes</p>
#4:	<p>RUCs identity workshop</p> <p>What do you think about the conjunction of RUC being a critical university and maintaining a non political view on geopolitical tensions.</p> <p>Victor: we cant say that were progressive and that we have a non political stance on geopolitical. We cant say were progressive if we stay neutral during a geopolitical landscape that contains an active genocide. Very critical against saying youre progressive if youre failing your humanitarian duties.</p> <p>Rosa: sometimes you can do both, but there needs to be a clear “ramme” for when being progressive and being nonpolitical clashes.</p> <p>Amir: agrees with victor but also says that this situation is a “minefield” to navigate. It would be nice to live in a world where ruc could 100% make these statements, but RUC is a “offentlig instiaution” and these things affect major things like our funding.</p> <p>Liva: if we look back in ten years time and we shut our mouths to not lose funding, then we failed as a university. As students and educated people we need to speak up about this.</p> <p>Kamille:</p> <p>Rosa:</p> <p>Magnus: put forth the concept of what Rucnewspaper could/can do for us as an institution.</p> <p>Samuel:</p> <p>Amir:</p> <p>Victor: Ruc will always be met with resistance and that is precisly why need to bring back our true identity</p> <p>Educaions for the purpose of education <-> Education to fill out roles in a job market. Do you see possible alternatives in line with your view of RUCs identity, that could ensure a proper balance between purposes without sacrificing identity.</p> <p>Rosa: if we speak up about geopolitical dilemmas and meet the consequences from the governement, that would be censorship and that would make it super easy to rally people. The media eat that shit up.</p> <p>Pseudo summary :</p> <p>What does it mean to be a critical university?</p> <p>When and how should RUC show teeth?</p> <p>We need to know which consequences we face if we get to critical or progressive.</p>
#5:	<p>Election working group</p> <p>This point of the agenda has been halved so that “Initiatives working time” forfeited the program.</p>

#6:	A.O.B Victor needs sleeping bag Samuel has become that SMT boi Samuel says: thank you to the board for being so good at discussing things. Amir backs him up Rosa:
#7:	
#8:	
#9:	
#10: A.O.B.	
Next meeting	<i>Next meeting will be held on 23rd of September 2025 at 16.15 in building 27</i>

APPENDIX B

Will be sent out before the meeting