



Agenda

Start Date: 08/10/2025	Start Time: 16:15-17:00
Location: Online teams	Room: SR Teams
Last Meeting: 23/09/2025	Next Meeting: 20/10/2026

#	Agenda Item	Est. Time	Appx	Action
1	Formalities <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Appointment of conductor - Appointment of minute taker - Approval of the agenda for this board meeting - Approval of the minutes from the last board meetings 	16:15-16:20	A	
2	Approval of candidates	16:20-16:40		
3	A.O.B	16:40-17:00		Orientation

Agenda Prepared By: Amir Bahloul – Amir@sr-ruc.dk

Minutes Prepared By: Magnus Skovgaard Jensen – Skovgaard@sr-ruc.dk

Minutes

Of ordinary board meeting in the Student Council at RUC

Date	23.09 2025
Location	Building 27
Meeting participants	<p><i>List of people present in the meeting and their role in parentheses.</i> <i>BM - Board member / AR - Academic Council member (no voting rights) / AL - Alternate (voting rights if a BM is missing) / OS - Observer (no voting rights)</i></p> <p>Magnus Spaabæk Skovgaard (BM), Mille *** (BM), Marie *** (BM), Maya Angelo Henriksen (BM), Celina *** (BM), Amir Bahloul (BM), Liva Holdt Aadal Olsen (BM), Lærke Lykke Larsen (BM), Victor Irming Hansen (BM), Jonathan Malte Jensen (BM), Kamille Munch Sennels-Andersen (BM), Samuel Kreiner (BM), Rosa (BM), Hailey (OS), Laura (OS), Clara (OS), Gabi (OS), Amanda (OS), Lone (OS), Emily (OS), Caroline (OS), Oscar (OS), Mathilde (OS), Aleksander (BM), Simon (OS), Faisal (OS), Amira (OS), Zofia (BM), Noelle (OS), Anders (OS), Tracy (OS), two more observers joined doing the meeting.</p>
#1: Formalities	<p><i>It is the proposed that the board appoints a conductor, a minute taker, approves the minutes from last time, approves the agenda for this board meeting.</i></p> <p>Conductor: Amir Minute taker: Magnus Minutes from the last meeting: APPROVED Agenda: APPROVED</p>
#2:	<p>Orientations</p> <p>Victor: Sambach just got new boards at both SAMråd and Max WeBar.</p> <p>Zofia: Started a new initiative for SIB to write a letter (or another product, since so many letters are going around) concerning the recent shitshow. Would like help from NIB and GH (HIB).</p> <p>Celina: We had a semester start party which went well and there was good collab with the faculty bars. We also did Roskilde Festival volunteering which also went smoothly.</p> <p>We also made a digital student handbook this year (previously a physical version). It can be found at ruhaandbog.dk</p> <p>Rosa: DSF has a lot of trips coming up which you can go on. Like Island and Polan. It's very relevant both for developing your skills and also for student council work.</p> <p>Amir: Tutoring hasn't yet been evaluated, but we expect it when well with ofc the <i>usual bumps in the road</i>.</p> <p>NAT had a general assembly where Liva is chairperson which means there are finally again (since corona) four subject councils that are alive.</p> <p>We have done a project about creating movies on climate feelings which have formed workshops for five different houses (so far). Some Hab houses are missing and Sab will first get it in February.</p> <p>Month of sustainability is happening right now, it's a collab originating from green conversations. Events are happening right now.</p> <p>It is mentioned that the signs should be sustainably made and the event be promoted before. An idea could be to tell the tutor teams as well.</p> <p>The election has been made official from the election office. Until the 9th of October, it is possible to give candidacy either alone or though the student council. You can contact your subject council or your student council if you would like information on the election.</p>

	<p>Jonathan: We want people not to be surprised that a student council exist when election rolls around, so we will try to spread the word through coffee stands (among other things). First one (this semester) is happening this Thursday. You can contact Jonathan if you would like to help. 10 till 14 is where the leadership academy is there.</p>
#3:	<p>Introduction to SR and RUC structure</p> <p>Amir shows his flow chart skills with a massive piece of work over the student council and its bodies.</p> <p>The student council has a board (board members are elected at the yearly general assembly), an executive committee (EC) (elected at the meeting right after the general assembly) (4 or 5 members of the board), chairpersonship (elected at the yearly general assembly) (3 or 2 members). The EC is exactly 7 members total).</p> <p>The EC does the day to day work of the student council. They get an honorarium, to buy 10-15 hours of work .</p> <p>Each subject council has a seat in the board (if they choose to use it). The Board meets every month and has at least one week long board meeting every year.</p> <p>The general assembly is the highest authority – what is decided at the general assembly has to be upheld by the board and EC.</p> <p>All the people who gets a seat at the academic council also become board members.</p> <p>There are four committees under SR. Sub committees.</p> <p>SEC (social event..)</p> <p>FANE (academic network)</p> <p>LPU (National political...)</p> <p>UNIPOL (University political)</p> <p>They are mainly facilitated by members of the EC. The committees both consist of members of the board and whoever else would like to be part of it.</p> <p>At the top of the university is the university board.</p> <p>Then there is the rectorate who consists of the different heads of the university.</p> <p>The rectorate is directly connected to the academic council where the students can have influence. This is where students can be elected to have direct influence on different matters that relate to the university.</p> <p>Students can run for election at the university board (every second year), the academic council and the study boards under each faculty; (14 total study boards connected to the different disciplines). These are the official bodies where students can seek influence.</p> <p>Please contact Amir so he can help navigate you to what you can run for or for general questions.</p> <p>In other words, the student council do their work through many different bodies. The different bodies we seek influence through.</p> <p>In 1 ½ months there is going to be an election.</p>
#4:	<p>Overview of the political landscape and RUC</p> <p>This point is in relation to the current political landscape and all the media attention that RUC has gotten in the last few weeks. RUC has had a large intake of students from Bangladesh that has been in growth in the past couple years. Which Frihedsbrevet exposed RUC for having accepted 22 students with false documentation.</p> <p>Mille and Amir have made a timeline of everything that relates to this case.</p>

Before september 2023, RUC withdraws from studyportals in Bangladesh. Ruc was first made aware here of the large amount of Bangladeshi students.

2024, which was discussed in the academic council. In that meeting staff members expressed concern at the academic- and language level was lacking.

Then RUC contacted the department seeking help and tools to help with this issue. However the department never helped the numerous universities that had asked for help.

Late Aug 2025, Frihedsbrevet published a story about falsified admission documents. And the high amount of foreign self-payers (USB's / Udlandske selvbetalere).

They made a economic back on track plan. This plan focused among other things to get more USB's. They also wanted more extenernal founding for research and again other things to get back on track.

A lot of the media houses tied together a story that RUC willingly recruited USB's to help their economic situation. RUC have closed the winter intake of students, raised fees for joining and language requirements.

So the last couple weeks RUC has been a lot in the media for 'doing this out of economic interest'. Which has lead to a lot of politicians to push a stricter immigration policy and to get themselves out there before the local election.

So instead of the government taking responsibility

We have been in the news trying to deflect the narrative with little success.

RUC announced last week that they will close the international master, business administration for applications. A political adviser said this was definitely the way to go, else we might have been faced with larger punishments. This was done as a measure to 'satisfy' the government.

There was a parliamentary hearing where the minister for education and research might have given RUC a harder punishment.

Mille: Being a part of the university board (UB) is a very difficult place to be. They were called to an extraordinary meeting where they were told former president of the board, Carsten Boesen resigned. Dea Forchhammer stepped up to become the current president of the board.

Rectorate had an urgent meeting with the student council.

The university reps sitting at DSF have all been very disappointed in the DSF in being silent in all of this. This could have happened to all of the universities. There is a lot of misinformation existing, among other that this high intake of Bangladeshi student is affecting all universities. So there has been critique at DSF for not speaking up more.

Dea, the acting president of the university board, released a statement saying that the university should be mainly for Danish and Zealandic students. There is missing context, but nothing that excuses the message. In the UB they were shocked and confused that Dea had spoken out in this way, but there was also a lot of pressure on someone from to speak up. However still the lack of nuance was a shock. It was a way to get RUC out of the storm, the analysis was that the government was still ready to hand out more consequences if RUC didn't lie down flat. Our understanding is that Dea was not happy to give this statement, and it isn't anything that the other bodies is in agreement with either.

At the annual party, speeches held were with a big focus on the international community at RUC and shouldn't be alienated.

Yesterday the UB received an open letter which was sent around and now they are to have another extraordinary board meeting. It's very difficult when they feel the need to make statements that the don't actually support.

The one focus in the UB is to fight for the international students at RUC and how RUC moves forward. The UB is a bit divided at the moment (it is made of both internal and external people) which has been made through lack of communication.

RUC has formerly faced consequences. And could still receive closure of more masters or stopping RUC to have international intake for a couple of years. (It is still very speculative and some very confidential).

The government problem with the intake of Bangladeshi students is to do with migration policy.

	<p>The real problem is the some times questionable levels these students possess. RUC also hasn't really had a lot of time to regulate given that they can only test and try new requirements once a year.</p> <p>This timeline is a more general overview than something to nuance the entire situation.</p> <p>Is it discussed whether it is 'correct' for RUC to have had higher recruitment of UBS as a part of their strategy.</p> <p>It is mentioned how RUC hasn't broken any laws and how RUC regardless have been made the scapegoat. Which often leads to cut in RUC's extra funding from the government. RUC has been retained from getting money from these extra funds because of stuff that has happened at RUC.</p> <p>Marie has basically done a project worth of overview on this situation, since it is a very complicated. She has followed the case closely, and this work is something that you can get your hands on. Note it is not work of SR, but you can contact Marie about it.</p>								
#5:	Break								
#6:	<p>Approval of Candidates for the University Election</p> <p>There are six seats in the academic council that are up for election this year.</p> <p>We try to broadly represent in the student council, four faculty candidates, one international, and one parole (with switching paroles.)</p> <p>We have found four out of six candidates so far.</p> <table data-bbox="342 846 1122 982"> <tr> <td>HUM: Oliver Milan, SMT and vice chair of Humrådet</td> <td>Trust</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SAM: Victor, Part of the board, former chair of SAMråd</td> <td>Trust</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NAT: Maya Boldugc, SMT</td> <td>Trust</td> </tr> <tr> <td>INT: Noelle, RUSK, Roskilde festival coordinator</td> <td>Trust</td> </tr> </table> <p>Gabi and Clara are approved as vote counters.</p> <p>There are still missing candidates for HUMTEK and the parole candidate (hopefully for sustainability)</p>	HUM: Oliver Milan, SMT and vice chair of Humrådet	Trust	SAM: Victor, Part of the board, former chair of SAMråd	Trust	NAT: Maya Boldugc, SMT	Trust	INT: Noelle, RUSK, Roskilde festival coordinator	Trust
HUM: Oliver Milan, SMT and vice chair of Humrådet	Trust								
SAM: Victor, Part of the board, former chair of SAMråd	Trust								
NAT: Maya Boldugc, SMT	Trust								
INT: Noelle, RUSK, Roskilde festival coordinator	Trust								
#7:	<p>SU policy paper</p> <p>DSF (Danish national student union). They take care of our interest (or at least are suppose to). They lobby with politicians by electing in policies and their board which consist of two members of each university. There is a big general assembly (PK) every half year where a policy paper is discussed.</p> <p>This year it's on our policy on SU.</p> <p>Rosa has brought three points from the paper, which will likely be heavily discussed. (Sidenote RUC has gotten one more vote, from six till seven. Universities have a number of votes relating to their size).</p> <p>We now need to find our common ground on this paper.</p> <p>Point one: Should fight for SU the entire time, you are an active student. Other students from other universities believe there should be limit, although more than it is now.</p> <p>Gabi: It being raised to indefinite might be helpful to older people who want to take a later education.</p> <p>Rosa: There is this phenomenon, called the thesis slump, with people continuing to write their thesis and prolonging their deadline.</p> <p>Someone: It should be raised from now, but not infinite. It's unfair otherwise and enables students to abuse the system.</p> <p>Laura: It should be raised so people have the chance to make mistakes without being punished.</p> <p>Victor: always people will always abuse the system, however, that shouldn't stop us from the principle of life-long-learning.</p>								

Aleks: Believes SU should follow the amount of ECTS points you have to complete in general. There will be a SU reform in 2027, so still amount of students. We should strive for the best possible solution for students

AMir: We also need to be reasonable at the negotiation table, it might hurt our argument to ask for unlimited SU.

Then it would become too much of an ideological debate.

Celina: We shouldn't have an unlimited amount, but have it be a high amount like 10 years, so that older people who are active in the workforce can go back and get.

Magnus: with most

It is much more importt

It might devalue our other important issues if we ask for unlimited clips.

Victor: It is not unreasonable to ask for unlimited as a starting point. We have our first standpoint,

It's agreed to vote for how much it should be raised.

Unlimited amount of SU: 6

Raising but not unlimited: 6

We have a tie, initially. Revote.

It is discussed to change unlimited to a very high amount.

Unlimited amount of SU, SU for as long as you study: 7

Raising but not unlimited: 5

Majority has been reached in a very democratic way, and now we will strive for unlimited amount of SU.

Second point: Disability SU. This will also be a big debate doing PK, whether or not you should be allowed to work will applying for the disability SU.

The disability SU works retroactively so if your SU has not gone through, you have to live poorly for a couple of years before getting your money.

It is pointed how the internationals can't get disability SU, because they have to work to get SU. It is an EU rule.

Someone: You do get handicap SU, you can always put your SU on pause and work for three months. How can you afford living while not getting it.

Gabi: Disability SU should have a lot of conversation about what you can and can't do. This is a discussion, you should decide yourself. So you get job experience even if not nescarly relevant in your field. And it

For information. According to the SU rules, you can work up to 15 hours a month.

Noelle: You can work, but it you should raise the hours. So maybe raise it to like five hours a week (from three). So it would be easier to have a proper student job.

Amir: Believes there should be a lot more flexibility. There are a lot of different situations. If you are able to work 5-10 hours a week then you should be able to, and then you don't have to receive the full SU. Fx the ability to apply for different levels of disability SU, because it also vonurable to not be able to get a relevant study job.

Magnus: It is a gamble because you can only apply three times before the possibility of retroactive SU being reset.

Kamilla: You are both hindered in the amount of work hours, you can do. So you may under different and confusing guidelines do work, when you have less study work.

Rosa: If you are able to work, then you shouldn't apply for SU, not trying to sound evil. A lot of students at PK will likely have this point.

Mille: Information the money isn't for you not to work, it is also for you to focus on your studies, since you may use more time. And this can bring students more onto a level playing field.

Gabi: The cost-of-living pricing, the current SU is not enough to match that.

Liva: You need a job to live often.
Laura: Give some hours a week or month where you can work.
Mille: It shouldn't be up to anyone but the individual, like putting it in the summer vacation isn't going to work for everyone, so give more flexibility.
Amir: We should add the following points:
The opportunity for international students.
Have much greater flexibility in how you apply. Or more flexibility in how many hours, you can work in a month.

Voting:
The opportunity for international students to get disability SU and disregard the amount of the work limit, so they can still get their
For: 11
Against: 0
Blank: 1
Raise the amount of house you can work while being applying.
For: 10
Against: 0
Blanks: 2

Increasing flexibility in how the student distribute their work.
For: 12
Against: 0
Blanks 0

All pass. And are all points we should fight for at PK.

Third point: EU international students should have access to SU as equal to Danish students. They shouldn't have to work 10-12 hours (40 a month). It should be equal to Danish students. If not possible then volunteer work should count towards the amount of hours.
Celina: They should be able to, but also yes to them getting SU for volunteer work.
Lone: If they go back to their home country immediately after their education, then it would ofc be a deficit for the state.
Noelle: There is a connection to disability SU and work experience if volunteer work is seen as work in this sense.
Gabi: It would have to be a work contract. The volunteer work should count as working hours (would be showing the international students that they are encourage in the among other campus envirement).
Kamille: The limit is technically seven hours a week (in connection to disability SU).
Aleksander: Volunteer work would add a layer of bureaucracy that may lead to a lot of loopholes. Has to e volunteer hours at the university.
Zofia: It is hard to time punch in with volunteer work.
Someone: There maybe has to be a signature from someone at the volunteer work, for the job market it is a nice loophole without saying specific amount of hours.
Simon: The whole attempt to make volunteer work to make it both count as something to not impact SU disability and count towards hours need to reach SU requirement.
Magnus: The reason we get SU is because we are an investment from the state, that believes we will get a job in Denmark and pay it back through taxes

Voting
Should international students get access to SU the same level that danes do.
For: 5
Against: 3

	<p>Blanks: 3 Passes</p> <p>That volunteer work should count towards the 10 hours. For: 0 Against: 3 Blanks: 8 Doesn't pass.</p> <p>We need people to go to PK; it is Aarhus this time. Contact Rosa. 24th to 26th of October. People who are interested: Rosa, Liva, Noelle, Faisal, Victor, Laura, Clara, Aleks</p>
#8:	Committee presentation (moved to AOB)
#9: A.O.B.	<p>Celina: Thank you guys for coming. It wasn't expected that this would be so heavy and political - but we have pressing matters. However we also do non political stuff. We have four sub committees under SR.</p> <p>Social event committee (SEC): We have just done the semester start party but have more plans in the pipe. Fx Creative days, Drag bingo, more stuff. And planning social stuff for coming semesters Can contact Celina after. Noelle, Anders</p> <p>Academic network (FANE): Will do a hygge project writing, closely linked with a lot of sustainability stuff, and is always open to having a guest speaker out. Can contact Magnus</p> <p>University political committee (UNIPOL): 6th to 13th of November we have the university election where people can vote for the academic council and the study boards. We need as much help as possible. Can contact Amir</p> <p>National political committee (LPU): Work with politics beyond campus, we represent SR in DSF. You would be surprised with how much we sometimes disagree with the other universities; we also write debate articles. Can contact Victor</p> <p>Calendar games Voting for next board meeting: (Board members priorities). Monday the 20th of October is voted in as the next board meeting.</p>
Next meeting	<i>Next meeting will be held on Monday the 20th of October at 16.15 in the student house</i>

APPENDIX B

Will be sent out before the meeting